



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,445	06/11/2001	Gregory R. Mundy	OSTS:003USD2	4570
7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER		
Steven L. Highlander, Esq. FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. Suite 2400 600 Congress Avenue			HOLLERAN, ANNE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
Austin, TX 78	3701		DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/879,445	MUNDY ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anne Holleran	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 22 March 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered, but are unpersuasive. Claims 25, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suda as evidenced by Falzon. The claimed inventions are drawn to methods comprising administering to a cancer patient a compound that down-regulates parathyroid hormone related peptide through inhibition of the PTH-rP promoter. The administration may be repeated and the the administration may be oral or intravenous. Suda teaches and claims a method of treating leukemia comprising the administration of 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. The administration may be oral and is repeated daily. Falzon teaches that alpha dihydroxyvitamin D3 down regulates PTH-rp gene expression. Thus, Suda's method is inherently the same method as that claimed. Applicant has argued that Suda does not provide data showing administration to a patient. This argument is not persuasive because Suda is a US Patent and the claimed methods are presumed valid. Applicant has also argued that Suda does not teach or claim a method of decreasing bone destruction in a cancer patient. However, the only active step of the instant claims is the administration of a compound that down-regulates parathyroid hormone-related peptide. Because Suda's method contains the same active step of administering a compound within the scope of one that down-regulates parathyroid hormone-related peptide and because the patient is a cancer patient just as in the claimed methods, the method of Suda is the same as that the claimed methods.

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

O 15 200 4